2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0465/P PJH&MGD:jad:pg

DNote SOON

note charge

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

Regen

AN ACT to renumber and amend 346.63 (2) (b), 940.09 (1m), 940.09 (2), 940.25 1 (1m) and 940.25 (2); to amend 343.305 (5) (d), 343.305 (7) (a), 343.305 (8) (b) 2 2. bm. and d., 343.305 (9) (a) 5. a., 343.307 (3), 343.31 (1) (am), 343.315 (2) (a) 3 2., 344.576 (2) (b), 346.63 (1) (c), 346.63 (2) (am), 346.65 (2m) (a), 346.65 (6) (a) 4 1., 346.65 (6) (c), 346.65 (6) (d), 885.235 (4), 939.75 (1), 939.75 (2) (b), 939.75 (3) 5 (intro.), 940.09 (1d) (a) 1., 940.09 (1d) (a) 2., 940.09 (1d) (b), 940.25 (1d) (a) 1., 6 7 940.25 (1d) (a) 2., 940.25 (1d) (b), 949.08 (2) (e), 949.08 (2) (em), 967.055 (1) (a) and 967.055 (2) (a); and to create 346.63 (1) (am), 346.63 (1) (d), 346.63 (2) (a) 8 9 3., 346.63 (2) (b) 2., 940.09 (1) (am), 940.09 (1) (cm), 940.09 (1g) (am), 940.09 (1g) (cm), 940.09 (2) (b), 940.25 (1) (am), 940.25 (1) (cm), 940.25 (2) (b) and 941.2010 11 (1) (bm) of the statutes; relating to: operating a vehicle or operating or going

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

armed with a firearm after the unauthorized use of a controlled substance and providing penalties.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This is a preliminary draft. An analysis will be provided in a later version.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 343.305 (5) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

343.305 (5) (d) At the trial of any civil or criminal action or proceeding arising out of the acts committed by a person alleged to have been driving or operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of an intoxicant, a controlled substance, a controlled substance analog or any other drug, or under the influence of any combination of alcohol, a controlled substance, a controlled substance analog and any other drug, to a degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving, or under the combined influence of an intoxicant and any other drug to a degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving, having any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine, or having a prohibited alcohol concentration, or alleged to have been driving or operating or on duty time with respect to a commercial motor vehicle while having an alcohol concentration above 0.0 or possessing an intoxicating beverage, regardless of its alcohol content, or within 4 hours of having consumed or having been under the influence of an intoxicating beverage, regardless of its alcohol content, or of having an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more, the results of a test administered in accordance with this section are admissible on the issue of whether the person was under the influence of an intoxicant, a controlled substance, a controlled substance

analog or any other drug, or under the influence of any combination of alcohol, a controlled substance, a controlled substance analog and any other drug, to a degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving or under the combined influence of an intoxicant and any other drug to a degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving, or any issue relating to the presence of any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in the person's blood or urine or to the person's alcohol concentration. Test results shall be given the effect required under s. 885.235.

SECTION 2. 343.305 (7) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

343.305 (7) (a) If a person submits to chemical testing administered in accordance with this section and any test results indicate the presence of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog or a prohibited alcohol concentration, the law enforcement officer shall report the results to the department and take possession of the person's license and forward it to the department. The person's operating privilege is administratively suspended for 6 months.

SECTION 3. 343.305 (8) (b) 2. bm. and d. of the statutes are amended to read: 343.305 (8) (b) 2. bm. Whether the person had a prohibited alcohol concentration or any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine at the time the offense allegedly occurred.

d. If one or more tests were administered in accordance with this section, whether each of the test results for those tests indicate the person had a prohibited alcohol concentration or any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine.

SECTION 4. 343.305 (9) (a) 5. a. of the statutes is amended to read:

Insert B

343.305 (9) (a) 5. a. Whether the officer had probable cause to believe the person was driving or operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol, a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog or any combination of alcohol, a controlled substance and a controlled substance analog, under the influence of any other drug to a degree which renders the person incapable of safely driving, or under the combined influence of alcohol and any other drug to a degree which renders the person incapable of safely driving, having a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her urine or blood, or having a prohibited alcohol concentration or, if the person was driving or operating a commercial motor vehicle, an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more and whether the person was lawfully placed under arrest for violation of s. 346.63 (1), (2m) or (5) or a local ordinance in conformity therewith or s. 346.63 (2) or (6), 940.09 (1) or 940.25.

SECTION 5. 343.307 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

ordinance or under a law of a federally recognized American Indian tribe or band in this state as under (346.68 (1) (a) (b) or bet) (am), or (b) any combination of s. 346.63 (1) (a), (am), or (b), or s. 346.63 (5), the local ordinance or the law of a federally recognized American Indian tribe or band in this state shall be considered to be in conformity with (346.63 (1) (a), (am), or (b), or s. 346.63 (5), for purposes of ss. 343.30 (1q) (b) 1., 343.305 (10) (b) 1. and 346.65 (2) and (2j).

Section 6. 343.31 (1) (am) of the statutes is amended to read:

343.31 (1) (am) Injury by the operation of a vehicle while under the influence of an intoxicant, a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog, or any combination of an intoxicant, a controlled substance and a controlled substance

	·
1	analog, under the influence of any other drug to a degree which renders him or her
2	incapable of safely driving, or under the combined influence of an intoxicant and any
3	other drug to a degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving or while
4	the person has any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog
5	in his or her blood or urine or has a prohibited alcohol concentration and which is
6	criminal under s. 346.63 (2).
7	SECTION 7. 343.315 (2) (a) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:
8	343.315 (2) (a) 2. Section 346.63 (1) (am) or (b) or (5) (a) or a local ordinance in
9	conformity therewith or a law of a federally recognized American Indian tribe or
10	band in this state in conformity with s. 346.63 (1) (am) or (b) or (5) (a) or the law of
11	another jurisdiction prohibiting driving or operating a commercial motor vehicle
12	while the person's alcohol concentration is 0.04 or more or with an excess or specified
13	range of alcohol concentration, as those or substantially similar terms are used in
14	that jurisdiction's laws.
15	SECTION 8. 344.576 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:
16	344.576 (2) (b) The damage occurs while the renter or authorized driver
17	operates the private passenger vehicle in this state while under the influence of an
18	intoxicant or other drug, as described under s. 346.63 (1) (a), (am), or (b) or (2m).
19	SECTION 9. 346.63 (1) (am) of the statutes is created to read:
20	346.63 (1) (am) The person has any amount of a controlled substance or a
21	controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine.
22	SECTION 10. 346.63 (1) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:
23	346.63 (1) (c) A person may be charged with and a prosecutor may proceed upon
(24)	a complaint based upon a violation of par. (a) or (b) or both (am), or (b), or any

combination of par. (a), (am), or (b) for acts arising out of the same incident or

1	occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both pars. (a) and (b) any
2	combination of par. (a), (am), or (b), the offenses shall be joined. If the person is found
3	guilty of both pars. (a) and (b) (am), or (b), or any combination of par. (a), (am),
4	or (b) for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there shall be a single
5	conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting convictions under
6	ss. 343.30 (1q) and 343.305. Paragraphs (a), (am), and (b) each require proof of a fact
7	for conviction which the other does others do not require.
8	SECTION 11. 346.63 (1) (d) of the statutes is created to read:
9	346.63 (1) (d) In an action under par. (am), the defendant has a defense if he
0	or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the incident or
	occurrences one of the following applied:
12	1. He or she had a valid prescription for the controlled substance or controlled
13	substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of
14	controlled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine
15	was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being
16	used as prescribed.
L7	2. He or she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance
18	that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance
19	found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being
20	used as directed.
21	SECTION 12. 346.63 (2) (a) 3. of the statutes is created to read:
22	346.63 (2) (a) 3. The person has any amount of a controlled substance or a
23	controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine.

SECTION 13. 346.63 (2) (am) of the statutes is amended to read:

346.63 (2) (am) A person may be charged with and a prosecutor may proceed upon a complaint based upon a violation of par. (a) 1. or 2. or both 2., or 3., or any combination of par. (a) 1., 2., or 3. for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating par. (a) 1. and 2. any combination of par. (a) 1., 2., or 3. in the complaint, the crimes shall be joined under s. 971.12. If the person is found guilty of par. (a) 1. and 2. (a) 1. and 2. (a) 1. and 2. (a) 1., 2., or 3. for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there shall be a single conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting convictions under ss. 343.30 (1q) and 343.305. Paragraph (a) 1. and, 2., and 3. each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does others do not require.

SECTION 14. 346.63 (2) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 346.63 (2) (b) 1. amended to read:

346.63 (2) (b) 1. In an action under this subsection, the defendant has a defense if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the injury would have occurred even if he or she had been exercising due care and he or she had not been under the influence of an intoxicant, a controlled substance, a controlled substance analog or a combination thereof, under the influence of any other drug to a degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving, or under the combined influence of an intoxicant and any other drug to a degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving er, did not have a prohibited alcohol concentration described under par. (a) 2 or did not have any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine.

SECTION 15. 346.63 (2) (b) 2. of the statutes is created to read:

346.63 (2) (b) 2. In an action under par. (am), the defendant has a defense if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the incident or occurrence one of the following applied:

a. He or she had a valid prescription for the controlled substance or controlled substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being used as prescribed.

b. He or she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being used as directed.

SECTION 16. 346.65 (2m) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

346.65 (2m) (a) In imposing a sentence under sub. (2) for a violation of s. 346.63 (1) (am) or (b) or (5) or a local ordinance in conformity therewith, the court shall review the record and consider the aggravating and mitigating factors in the matter. If the level of the person's blood alcohol level amount of alcohol or controlled substance or controlled substance analog in the person's blood or urine is known, the court shall consider that level amount as a factor in sentencing. The chief judge of each judicial administrative district shall adopt guidelines, under the chief judge's authority to adopt local rules under SCR 70.34, for the consideration of aggravating and mitigating factors.

SECTION 17. 346.65 (6) (a) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

346.65 (6) (a) 1. The court may order a law enforcement officer to seize the motor vehicle used in the violation or improper refusal and owned by the person

whose operating privilege is revoked under s. 343.305 (10) or who committed a violation of s. 346.63 (1) (a), (am), or (b) or (2) (a) 1. ef. 2., or 3., 940.09 (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d), or 940.25 (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d) if the person whose operating privilege is revoked under s. 343.305 (10) or who is convicted of the violation has 2 or more prior suspensions, revocations, or convictions, counting convictions under ss. 940.09 (1) and 940.25 in the person's lifetime, plus other convictions, suspensions, or revocations counted under s. 343.307 (1). The court may not order a motor vehicle seized if the court enters an order under s. 343.301 to immobilize the motor vehicle or equip the motor vehicle with an ignition interlock device or if seizure would result in undue hardship or extreme inconvenience or would endanger the health and safety of a person.

SECTION 18. 346.65 (6) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:

346.65 (6) (c) The district attorney of the county where the motor vehicle was seized, or where the owner improperly refused to take the test under s. 343.305 or violated s. 346.63 (1) (a), (am), or (b) or (2) (a) 1. er, 2., or 3., 940.09 (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d) or 940.25 (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d), shall commence an action to forfeit the motor vehicle within 30 days after the motor vehicle is seized. The action shall name the owner of the motor vehicle and all lienholders of record as parties. The forfeiture action shall be commenced by filing a summons, complaint and affidavit of the law enforcement agency with the clerk of circuit court. Upon service of an answer, the action shall be set for hearing within 60 days after the service of the answer. If no answer is served or no issue of law or fact joined and the time for that service or joining of issues has expired, the court may render a default judgment as provided in s. 806.02.

SECTION 19. 346.65 (6) (d) of the statutes is amended to read:

346.65 (6) (d) At the hearing set under par. (c), the state has the burden of proving to a reasonable certainty by the greater weight of the credible evidence that the motor vehicle seized under par. (a) 1. is a motor vehicle used in the violation or the improper refusal and owned by a person who committed a violation of s. 346.63 (1) (a), (am), or (b) or (2) (a) 1. ex, 2., or 3., 940.09 (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d) or 940.25 (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d) and that the person had 2 or more prior convictions, suspensions or revocations, counting convictions under ss. 940.09 (1) and 940.25 in the person's lifetime, plus other convictions, suspensions or revocations counted under s. 343.307 (1). If the state fails to meet the burden of proof required under this paragraph, the motor vehicle shall be returned to the owner upon the payment of storage costs.

SECTION 20. 885.235 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

885.235 (4) The provisions of this section relating to the admissibility of chemical tests for alcohol concentration or intoxication shall not be construed as limiting the introduction of any other competent evidence bearing on the question of whether or not a person was under the influence of an intoxicant, had any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine, had a specified alcohol concentration, or had an alcohol concentration in the range specified in s. 23.33 (4c) (a) 3., 30.681 (1) (bn), 346.63 (2m) or 350.101 (1) (c).

SECTION 21. 939.75 (1) of the statutes, as affected by 2001 Wisconsin Act 109, is amended to read:

939.75 (1) In this section and ss. 939.24 (1), 939.25 (1), 940.01 (1) (b), 940.02 (1m), 940.05 (2g) and (2h), 940.06 (2), 940.08 (2), 940.09 (1) (c) to (e) and (1g) (c), (cm), and (d), 940.10 (2), 940.195, 940.23 (1) (b) and (2) (b), 940.24 (2) and 940.25 (1) (c) to

1	(e), "unborn child" means any individual of the human species from fertilization until
2	birth that is gestating inside a woman.
3	SECTION 22. 939.75 (2) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:
4	939.75 (2) (b) Sections 940.01 (1) (b), 940.02 (1m), 940.05 (2g) and (2h), 940.06
5	(2), 940.08 (2), 940.09 (1) (c) to (e) and (1g) (c), (cm), and (d), 940.10 (2), 940.195,
6	940.23 (1) (b) and (2) (b), 940.24 (2) and 940.25 (1) (c) to (e) do not apply to any of the
7	following:
8	SECTION 23. 939.75 (3) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:
9	939.75 (3) (intro.) When the existence of an exception under sub. (2) has been
10	placed in issue by the trial evidence, the state must prove beyond a reasonable doubt
11	that the facts constituting the exception do not exist in order to sustain a finding of
12	guilt under s. 940.01 (1) (b), 940.02 (1m), 940.05 (2g), 940.06 (2), 940.08 (2), 940.09
13	$(1)\ (c)\ to\ (e)\ or\ (1g)\ (c)\underline{,\ (cm)},\ or\ (d),\ 940.10\ (2),\ 940.195,\ 940.23\ (1)\ (b)\ or\ (2)\ (b),\ 940.24$
14	(2) or 940.25 (1) (c) to (e).
15	SECTION 24. 940.09 (1) (am) of the statutes is created to read:
16	940.09 (1) (am) Causes the death of another by the operation or handling of a
17	vehicle while the person has any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled
18	substance analog in his or her blood or urine.
19	SECTION 25. 940.09 (1) (cm) of the statutes is created to read:
20	940.09 (1) (cm) Causes the death of an unborn child by the operation or
21	handling of a vehicle while the person has any amount of a controlled substance or
22	a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine.
23	SECTION 26. 940.09 (1d) (a) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:
24	940.09 (1d) (a) 1. Except as provided in subd. 2., if the person who committed
25	an offense under sub. (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d) has 2 or more prior convictions,

suspensions, or revocations, counting convictions under sub. (1) and s. 940.25 in the person's lifetime, plus other convictions, suspensions, or revocations counted under s. 343.307 (1), the procedure under s. 343.301 shall be followed if the court enters an order regarding operating privilege restriction or enters an order regarding immobilization.

SECTION 27. 940.09 (1d) (a) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

940.09 (1d) (a) 2. Notwithstanding par. (b), if the person who committed an offense under sub. (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d) has 2 or more convictions, suspensions, or revocations counted under s. 343.307 (1) within any 5—year period, the procedure under s. 343.301 shall be followed if the court enters an order regarding operating privilege restriction and the installation of an ignition interlock device or enters an order regarding immobilization.

SECTION 28. 940.09 (1d) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

940.09 (1d) (b) If the person who committed an offense under sub. (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d) has 2 or more prior convictions, suspensions, or revocations, counting convictions under sub. (1) and s. 940.25 in the person's lifetime, plus other convictions, suspensions, or revocations counted under s. 343.307 (1), the procedure under s. 346.65 (6) shall be followed if the court orders the seizure and forfeiture of the motor vehicle owned by the person and used in the violation.

SECTION 29. 940.09 (1g) (am) of the statutes is created to read:

940.09 (1g) (am) Causes the death of another by the operation or handling of a firearm or airgun while the person has any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine.

SECTION 30. 940.09 (1g) (cm) of the statutes is created to read:

940.09 (1g) (cm) Causes the death of an unborn child by the operation or
handling of a firearm or airgun while the person has any amount of a controlled
substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine.

SECTION 31. 940.09 (1m) of the statutes is renumbered 940.09 (1m) (a) and amended to read:

940.09 (1m) (a) A person may be charged with and a prosecutor may proceed upon an information based upon a violation of sub. (1) (a), (am), or (b) or both, any combination thereof; sub. (1) (a), (am), or (bm) or both, any combination thereof; sub. (1) (c), (cm), or (d) or both, any combination thereof; sub. (1) (c), (cm), or (e) or both, any combination thereof; sub. (1g) (a), (am), or (b) or both any combination thereof; or sub. (1g) (c), (cm), or (d) or both any combination thereof for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence.

others do

INS 13/24 (

1	SECTION 32. 940.09 (2) of the statutes is renumbered 940.09 (2) (a) and
2	amended to read:
3	940.09 (2) (a) The In any action under this section, the defendant has a defense
4	if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the death would have
5	occurred even if he or she had been exercising due care and he or she had not been
6	under the influence of an intoxicant, did not have any amount of a controlled
7	substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine, or did not have
8	an alcohol concentration described under sub. (1) (b), (bm), (d) or (e) or (1g) (b) or (d).
9	SECTION 33. 940.09 (2) (b) of the statutes is created to read:
10	940.09 (2) (b) In any action under sub. (1) (am) or (cm) or (1g) (am) or (cm), the
(12)	defendant has a defense if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that
(12)	at the time of the incident or occurrence one of the following applied:
13	1. He or she had a valid prescription for the controlled substance or controlled
14	substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of
15	controlled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine
16	was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being
17	used as prescribed.
18	2. He or she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance
19	that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance
20	found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being
21	used as directed.
22	SECTION 34. 940.25 (1) (am) of the statutes is created to read:
23	940.25 (1) (am) Causes great bodily harm to another human being by the
24	operation of a vehicle while the person has any amount of a controlled substance or

a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine.

25

 $\mathbf{2}$

SECTION 35. $940.25 (1) (cm)$ of the statutes is created to reference.
--

940.25 (1) (cm) Causes great bodily harm to an unborn child by the operation of a vehicle while the person has any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine.

SECTION 36. 940.25 (1d) (a) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

940.25 (1d) (a) 1. Except as provided in subd. 2., if the person who committed an offense under sub. (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d) has 2 or more prior convictions, suspensions, or revocations, counting convictions under sub. (1) and s. 940.09 (1) in the person's lifetime, plus other convictions, suspensions, or revocations counted under s. 343.307 (1), the procedure under s. 343.301 shall be followed if the court enters an order regarding operating privilege restriction or enters an order regarding immobilization.

SECTION 37. 940.25 (1d) (a) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

940.25 (1d) (a) 2. Notwithstanding par. (b), if the person who committed an offense under sub. (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d) has 2 or more convictions, suspensions, or revocations counted under s. 343.307 (1) within any 5-year period, the procedure under s. 343.301 shall be followed if the court enters an order regarding operating privilege restriction and the installation of an ignition interlock device or enters an order regarding immobilization.

SECTION 38. 940.25 (1d) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

940.25 (1d) (b) If the person who committed an offense under sub. (1) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), or (d) has 2 or more prior convictions, suspensions, or revocations, counting convictions under sub. (1) and s. 940.09 (1) in the person's lifetime, plus other convictions, suspensions, or revocations counted under s. 343.307 (1), the

1	procedure under s. 346.65 (6) shall be followed if the court orders the seizure and
2	forfeiture of the motor vehicle owned by the person and used in the violation.
3	SECTION 39. 940.25 (1m) of the statutes is renumbered 940.25 (1m) (a) and
4	amended to read:
5	940.25 (1m) (a) A person may be charged with and a prosecutor may proceed
6	upon an information based upon a violation of sub. (1) (a), (am), or (b) or both, any
7	combination thereof; sub. (1) (a), (am), or (bm) or both, any combination thereof; sub.
8	(1) (c), (cm), or (d) or both any combination thereof; or sub. (1) (c), (cm), or (e) or both
9	any combination thereof for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence.
10	(b) If the a person is charged with violating both sub. (1) (a) and (b), both sub.
11	(1) (a) and (bm), both sub. (1) (c) and (d) or both sub. (1) (c) and (e) in the an
12	information with any combination of crimes referred to in par. (a), the crimes shall
13	be joined under s. 971.12. If the person is found guilty of both sub. (1) (a) and (b), both
14	sub. (1) (a) and (bm), both sub. (1) (c) and (d) or both sub. (1) (c) and (e) more than one
15	of the crimes so charged for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there
16	shall be a single conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting
17	convictions under s. 23.33 (13) (b) 2. and 3., under s. 30.80 (6) (a) 2. or 3., under ss.
18	343.30 (1q) and 343.305 or under s. 350.11 (3) (a) 2. and 3. Subsection (1) (a), (am),
19	(b), (bm), (c), (cm), (d), and (e) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the
20	other does not require.
21	SECTION 40. 940.25 (2) of the statutes is renumbered 940.25 (2) (a) and
22	amended to read:
23	940.25 (2) (a) The defendant has a defense if he or she proves by a
24	preponderance of the evidence that the great bodily harm would have occurred even
25	if he or she had been exercising due care and he or she had not been under the

25

1	influence of an intoxicant, did not have any amount of a controlled substance or a
2	controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine, or did not have an alcohol
3	concentration described under sub. (1) (b), (bm), (d) or (e).
4	SECTION 41. 940.25 (2) (b) of the statutes is created to read:
5	940.25 (2) (b) In any action under this section, the defendant has a defense if
6	he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the incident
7	or occurrence one of the following applied:
8	1. He or she had a valid prescription for the controlled substance or controlled
9	substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of
10	controlled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine
11	was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being
12	used as prescribed.
13	2. He or she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance
14	that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance
15	found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being
16	used as directed.
17	SECTION 42. 941.20 (1) (bm) of the statutes is created to read:
18	941.20 (1) (bm) Operates or goes armed with a firearm while he or she has any
19	amount of a controlled substance in his or her blood or urine. A defendant has a
20	defense to any action under this paragraph if he or she proves by a preponderance
$\binom{2}{2}$	of the evidence that at the time of the incident or occurrence one of the following
22	applied:
23	1. He or she had a valid prescription for the controlled substance or controlled

substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of

controlled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine

- was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being used as prescribed.
 - 2. He or she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being used as directed.

SECTION 43. 949.08 (2) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:

949.08 (2) (e) Is an adult passenger in the offender's vehicle and, the crime involved is specified in s. 346.63 (2) or 940.25, and the passenger knew the offender was under the influence of an intoxicant, a controlled substance, a controlled substance and controlled substance and controlled substance analog, or had a prohibited alcohol concentration, as defined in s. 340.01 (46m) committing that offense. This paragraph does not apply if the victim is also a victim of a crime specified in s. 940.30, 940.305, 940.31 or 948.30.

SECTION 44. 949.08 (2) (em) of the statutes is amended to read:

949.08 (2) (em) Is an adult passenger in the offender's commercial motor vehicle and, the crime involved is specified in s. 346.63 (6) or 940.25, and the passenger knew the offender was under the influence of an intoxicant, a controlled substance, a controlled substance analog or any combination of an intoxicant, controlled substance and controlled substance analog, or had an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more but less than 0.1 committing that offense. This paragraph does not apply if the victim is also a victim of a crime specified in s. 940.30, 940.305, 940.31 or 948.30.

SECTION 45. 967.055 (1) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

967.055 (1) (a) The legislature intends to encourage the vigorous prosecution of offenses concerning the operation of motor vehicles by persons under the influence of an intoxicant, a controlled substance, a controlled substance analog or any combination of an intoxicant, controlled substance and controlled substance analog, under the influence of any other drug to a degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving, or under the combined influence of an intoxicant and any other drug to a degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving or having a prohibited alcohol concentration, as defined in s. 340.01 (46m), er offenses concerning the operation of motor vehicles by persons with any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine, and offenses concerning the operation of commercial motor vehicles by persons with an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more.

SECTION 46. 967.055 (2) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

967.055 (2) (a) Notwithstanding s. 971.29, if the prosecutor seeks to dismiss or amend a charge under s. 346.63 (1) or (5) or a local ordinance in conformity therewith, or s. 346.63 (2) or (6) or 940.25, or s. 940.09 where the offense involved the use of a vehicle or an improper refusal under s. 343.305, the prosecutor shall apply to the court. The application shall state the reasons for the proposed amendment or dismissal. The court may approve the application only if the court finds that the proposed amendment or dismissal is consistent with the public's interest in deterring the operation of motor vehicles by persons who are under the influence of an intoxicant, a controlled substance, a controlled substance analog or any combination of an intoxicant, controlled substance and controlled substance analog, under the influence of any other drug to a degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving, or under the combined influence of an intoxicant and any other drug to a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

degree which renders him or her incapable of safely driving, in deterring the operation of motor vehicles by persons with any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine, or in deterring the operation of commercial motor vehicles by persons with an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more. The court may not approve an application to amend the vehicle classification from a commercial motor vehicle to a noncommercial motor vehicle unless there is evidence in the record that the motor vehicle being operated by the defendant at the time of his or her arrest was not a commercial motor vehicle.

SECTION 47. Effective date.

(1) This act takes effect on February 1, 2003, or on the day after publication, whichever is later.

D-vote

(END)

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0465/P2dn MGD:

jid

date

Rep. Gundrum:

As I indicated in the previous drafter's note, current s. 940.09 (1m) contains an error. Until 1989 Wisconsin Act 105 was enacted, there were only two ways in which s. 940.09 could be violated. Thus, the last sentence of s. 940.09 (1) (c) read: "Paragraphs (a) and (b) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does not require." When Act 105 created s. 940.09 (1) (bm) (which created a new prohibition related to the operation of a commercial motor vehicle), it also renumbered s. 940.09 (1) (c) as s. 940.09 (1m) and amended the last sentence of that provision to read: "Subsection (1) (a), (b), and (bm) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does not require." That change, however, should have also replaced "other does" with "others do." Act 105's enactment produced the same error in s. 940.25 (1m)."

The error in s. 940.09 (1m) was then compounded by the enactment of 1991 Wisconsin Act 277. Until then, s. 940.09 treated both homicide by intoxicated use of vehicle and homicide by intoxicated use of firearm in the same subsection (sub. (1)). Act 277 removed the references to homicide by intoxicated use of firearm from sub. (1) and created a new sub. (1g) to cover that conduct. At the same time, Act 277 amended sub. (1m). As a result of that amendment, the last sentence read: "Subsection (1) (a), (b), and (bm), and sub. (1) (a) and (b), each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does not require." Since then, additional paragraph references have been added for each of those subsections.

As a result of these changes, the last sentence of s. 940.09 (1m) could be read as merely indicating that the prohibition against homicide by intoxicated use of vehicle requires proof of a fact for conviction that the prohibition against homicide by intoxicated use of firearm does not require, and vice versa. In other words, that subsection does not clearly specify that one version of homicide by intoxicated use of vehicle (such as the version prohibited under s. 940.09 (1) (a)) requires proof of a fact that the other versions of that offense do not.

Given the history of this provision and the fact that this bill creates new paragraphs to which this provision will refer (subs. (1) (am) and (cm) and (1g) (am) and (cm)), it makes sense not to perpetuate the problems described above. Instead, this bill amends s. 940.09 (1m) so that the last sentence of it reads: "Subsection (1) (a), (am), (b), (bm), (c), (cm), (d), and (e) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the others do not

require, and sub. (1g) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), and (d) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the others do not require." (This is slightly different from what I suggested in the first drafter's note; I had not yet noticed the Act 105 error.) The bill also amends s. 940.25 (1m) to replace "other does" with "others do" in the last sentence. These changes are consistent with the changes that Peggy Hurley has made in other parts of the draft. See, e.g., s. 346.63 (1) (c).

I hope this information is nelptul. Please let me know if you have any questions about it.

Michael Dsida Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–9867

2003–2004 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

1	INSERT A:
2	SECTION 1. 23.33 (4c) (a) 2m. of the statutes is created to read:
3	23.33 (4c) (a) 2m. 'Operating with a controlled substance or a controlled
4	substance analog. No person may engage in the operation of an all-terrain vehicle
5	while the person has any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance
6	analog in his or her blood or urine.
7	SECTION 2. 23.33 (4c) (a) 4. of the statutes is amended to read:
8	23.33 (4c) (a) 4. 'Related charges.' A person may be charged with and a
(9)	prosecutor may proceed upon a complaint based upon a violation of subd. 1. er 2. or
10	both, 2., or 2m., or any combination of subd. 1., 2., or 2m. for acts arising out of the
11	same incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both subds. 1.
(12)	and 2. any combination of subd. 1, 2., or 2m., the offenses shall be joined. If the person
13	is found guilty of both subds. 1. and 2. subd. 1., 2., or 2m., or any combination of subd.
14	$\frac{1}{2}$, or 2m. for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there shall be a
15	single conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting convictions
16	under sub. (13) (b) 2. and 3. Subdivisions 1. and 2. In and 2m. each require proof
17	of a fact for conviction which the other does others do not require.
Histo: 448; 199	ry: 1985 a. 29; 1987 a. 200, 353, 399, 403; 1989 a. 31, 275, 359; 1991 a. 39, 303, 315; 1993 a. 16, 105, 119, 405; 1995 a. 27 ss. 1350 to 1351, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 436, 7 a. 27, 248, 283; 1999 a. 9; 2001 a. 16, 90, 106, 109. SECTION 3. 23.33 (4c) (a) 5. of the statutes is created to read:
19	23.33 (4c) (a) 5. 'Defenses.' In an action under subd. 2m., the defendant has
20	a defense if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of
21	the incident or occurrence one of the following applied:
22	a. He or she had a valid prescription for the controlled substance or controlled
23	substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of



controlled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine 1 2 was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being 3 used as prescribed. b. He or she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance 4 that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance 5 found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being 6 7 used as directed. SECTION 4. 23.33 (4c) (b) 2m. of the statutes is created to read: 8 23.33 (4c) (b) 2m. 'Causing injury while operating with a controlled substance 9 or a controlled substance analog.' No person who has any amount of a controlled 10 11 substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine may cause injury to another person by the operation of an all-terrain vehicle. 12

SECTION 5. 23.33 (4c) (b) 3. of the statutes is amended to read:

13

14

21

22

23.33 (4c) (b) 3. 'Related charges.' A person may be charged with and a prosecutor may proceed upon a complaint based upon a violation of subd. 1. or 2. or both, 2., or 2m., or 2m., or 2m. or any combination of subd. 1. 2., or 2m. for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both subds: 1: and 2. 2., or 2m., or 2m., or 2m. or any combination of subd. 1. 2., or 2m. in the complaint, the crimes shall be joined under s. 971.12. If the person is found guilty of both subds: 1. and 2. 2., or 2m., or 2m. or any combination of subd. 1. 2., or 2m. for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there shall be a single conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting convictions under sub. (13) (b) 2. and 3. Subdivisions



each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does <u>hers do</u> not require. 2 History: 1985 a. 29; 1987 a. 200, 353, 399, 403; 1989 a. 31, 275, 359; 1991 a. 39, 303, 315; 1993 a. 16, 105, 119, 405; 1995 a. 27 ss. 1350 to 1351, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 436, 448; 1997 a. 27, 248, 283; 1999 a. 9; 2001 a. 16, 90, 106, 109. **SECTION 6.** 23.33 (4c) (b) 4. of the statutes is renumbered 23.33 (4c) (b) 4. a. and 4 amended to read: 23.33 (4c) (b) 4. a. 'Defenses.' In an action under this paragraph, the defendant 5 has a defense if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that the injury 6 7 would have occurred even if he or she had been exercising due care and he or she had not been under the influence of an intoxicant or, did not have an alcohol 8 concentration of 0.1 or more, or did not have any amount of a controlled substance 9 or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine. 10 History: 1985 a. 29; 1987 a. 200, 353, 399, 403; 1989 a. 31, 275, 359; 1991 a. 39, 303, 315; 1993 a. 16, 105, 119, 405; 1995 a. 27 ss. 1350 to 1351, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 436, 448; 1997 a. 27, 248, 283; 1999 a. 9; 2001 a. 16, 90, 106, 109.

SECTION 7. 23.33 (4c) (b) 4. b. of the statutes is created to read: 11 23.33 (4c) (b) 4. b. In an action under subd. 2m., the defendant has a defense 12 if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the incident 13 or occurrence one of the following applieds MH or she had a valid prescription for the controlled substance or controlled substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of 16 controlled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine 17 was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being 18 used as prescribed for ii. The for she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being 22

23

used as directed.

1	SECTION 8. 30.681 (1) (b) (title) of the statutes is amended to read:
2	30.681 (1) (b) (title) Operating with controlled substance or alcohol
3	concentrations at or above specified levels.
4	History: 1985 a. 331; 1989 a. 275; 1995 a. 290, 436; 1997 a. 35, 198. SECTION 9. 30.681 (1) (b) 1m. of the statutes is created to read:
5	30.681 (1) (b) 1m. No person may engage in the operation of a motorboat while
6	the person has any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog
7	in his or her blood or urine.
8	SECTION 10. 30.681 (1) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:
9	30.681 (1) (c) Related charges. A person may be charged with and a prosecutor
10	may proceed upon a complaint based upon a violation of par. (a) or (b) or both (b) (b) 1., 1m., or 2. for acts arising out of the same
12 13 14	incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both pars. (a) and (b) (b) 1./1m/, or/2 for any combination of (a) (b) 1., 1m., or 2., the offenses shall be joined. If the person is found guilty of both pars. (a) and (b) (b) 1./1m/, or/2 for any
(15)	combination of (a) (b) 1 ., 1 m., or 2 . for acts arising out of the same incident or
16	occurrence, there shall be a single conviction for purposes of sentencing and for
(17) (17)	purposes of counting convictions under s. 30.80 (6) (a) 2. and 3. Paragraphs (a) and
(18)	(b) 1., 1m., and 2. each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does
19	others do not require.
20	History: 1985 a. 331; 1989 a. 275; 1995 a. 290, 436; 1997 a. 35, 198/ SECTION 11. 30.681 (1) (d) of the statutes is created to read:
21	30.681 (1) (d) Defenses. In an action under par. (b) 1m., the defendant has a
(22)	defense if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the
$\widehat{23}$	incident or occurrence one of the following applied:



(1)	1. He or she had a valid prescription for the controlled substance or controlled
2	substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of
3	controlled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine
4	was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being
5	used as prescribed.
6	2. He or she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance
7	that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance
8	found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being
9	used as directed.
10	SECTION 12. 30.681 (2) (b) (title) of the statutes is amended to read:
11	30.681 (2) (b) (title) Causing injury with controlled substance or alcohol
12	concentrations at or above specified levels.
13	History: 1985 a. 331; 1989 a. 275; 1995 a. 290, 436; 1997 a. 35, 198. SECTION 13. 30.681 (2) (b) 1m. of the statutes is created to read:
14	30.681 (2) (b) 1m. No person who has any amount of a controlled substance or
15	a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine may cause injury to another
16	person by the operation of a motorboat.
L 7	SECTION 14. 30.681 (2) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:
18	30.681 (2) (c) Related charges. A person may be charged with and a prosecutor
19)	may proceed upon a complaint based upon a violation of par. (a) or (b) or both (b) 1.
20)	1m., or 2. or any combination of par. (a) (b) 1., 1m., or 2. for acts arising out of the
21)	same incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both-pars. (a)
22)	and (b) (b) 1., 1m., or 2.) or any combination of par. (a) (b) 1., 1m., or 2. in the
23	complaint, the crimes shall be joined under s. 971.12. If the person is found guilty
24)	of both pars. (a) and (b) (b) 1., 1m., or 2. or any combination of par. (a) (b) 1., 1m.,

1	or 2. for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there shall be a single
2	conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting convictions under
3	s. 30.80 (6) (a) 2. and 3. Paragraphs (a) and (b) 11., 1m., and 2. each require proof
4	of a fact for conviction which the other does others do not require.
5	SECTION 15. 30.681 (2) (d) 1. of the statutes is renumbered 30.681 (2) (d) 1. a.
6	and amended to read:
7	30.681 (2) (d) 1. a. In an action under this subsection for a violation of the
8 .	intoxicated boating law where the defendant was operating a motorboat that is not
9	a commercial motorboat, the defendant has a defense if he or she proves by a
10	preponderance of the evidence that the injury would have occurred even if he or she
11	had been exercising due care and he or she had not been under the influence of an
12	intoxicant or did not have an alcohol concentration of 0.1 or more or any amount of
13	a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine.
Hist 14	SECTION 16. 30.681 (2) (d) 1. b. of the statutes is created to read:
15	30.681 (2) (d) 1. b. In an action under par. (b) 1m., the defendant has a defense
6	if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the incident
17) 18)	or occurrence of the following applied:
[8]	i. He or she had a valid prescription for the controlled substance or controlled
19	substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of
20	controlled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine
21	was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being
22)	used as prescribed of
23)	(ii) He or she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance
24	that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance

found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being

2 used as directed. (end ins A)

3 INSERT B:

4

5

6

7

8

(9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SECTION 17. 343.305 (8) (b) 5. of the statutes is amended to read:

343.305 **(8) (b)** 5. If the hearing examiner finds that the criteria for administrative suspension have not been satisfied or that the person did not have a prohibited alcohol concentration at or any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine at the time the offense allegedly occurred or that the person proved an affirmative defense for offense allegedly occurred, the examiner shall order that the administrative suspension of the person's operating privilege be rescinded without payment of the fee under s. 343.21 (1) (j). If the hearing examiner finds that the criteria for administrative suspension have been satisfied and that the person had a prohibited alcohol concentration at or any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine at the time the offense allegedly occurred or that the person did not prove an affirmative defense for the time the offense allegedly occurred, the administrative suspension shall continue regardless of the type of vehicle driven or operated at the time of the violation. The hearing examiner shall notify the person in writing of the hearing decision, of the right to judicial review and of the court's authority to issue a stay of the suspension under par. (c). The administrative suspension is vacated and the person's operating privilege shall be automatically reinstated under s. 343.39 if the hearing examiner



fails to mail this notice to the person within 30 days after the date of the notification 1 2 under par. (a). History: 1987 a. 3, 27, 399; 1989 a. 7, 31, 56, 105, 359; 1991 a. 39, 251, 277; 1993 a. 16, 105, 315, 317, 491; 1995 a. 27 ss. 6412cnL, 9126 (19); 1995 a. 113, 269, 425, 426, 448; 1997 a. 35, 84, 107, 191, 237, 290; 1999 a. 9, 32, 109; 2001 a. 16 ss. 3421m to 3423j, 4060gk, 4060hw, 4060hy; 2001 a. 104. 4 INSERT C SECTION 18. 350.101 (1) (bm) of the statutes is created to read: 5 350.101 (1) (bm) Operating with a controlled substance or a controlled 6 substance analog. No person may engage in the operation of a snowmobile with any 7 amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood 8 9 or urine. SECTION 19. 350.101 (1) (d) of the statutes is amended to read: 10 350.101 (1) (d) Related charges. A person may be charged with and a prosecutor 11 may proceed upon a complaint based upon a violation of par. (a) or (b) or both (b) 13 14 (15 (16 (bm), or any combination of/(a), (b), or (bm) for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both pars. (a) and (b) (or)any combination of (a), (b), or (bm), the offenses shall be joined. If the person is found guilty of both pars. (a) and (b) (, (b), (bm), or any combination of (a), (b), or (bm) 17 for acts arising out of the same incident or occurrence, there shall be a single conviction for purposes of sentencing and for purposes of counting convictions under 18 s. 350.11 (3) (a) 2. and 3. Paragraphs (a) and (b) (4) (and (bm)) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does others do not require. 20 History: 1987 a. 399; 1989 a. 275; 1995 a. 436. 399; 1989 a. 275; 1995 a. 436. SECTION 20. 350.101 (1) (e) of the statutes is created to read: 21 350.101 (1) (e) Defenses. In an action under par. (bm), the defendant has a 22 defense if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that, at the time of the incident or occurrence one of the following applied:



1	1. He or she had a valid prescription for the controlled substance or controlled
2	substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of
3	controlled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine
4	was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being
5	used as prescribed.
6	2.5 He or she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance
7	that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance
8	found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being
9	used as directed.
10	SECTION 21. 350.101 (2) (bm) of the statutes is created to read:
11	350.101 (2) (bm) Causing injury while operating a snowmobile with any
12	amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog. No person who
13	has any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or
14	her blood or urine may cause injury to another person by the operation of a
15	snowmobile.
16	SECTION 22. 350.101 (2) (c) of the statutes is amended to read:
17	350.101 (2) (c) Related charges. A person may be charged with and a prosecutor
(18)	may proceed upon a complaint based upon a violation of par. (a) or (b) or both
(19)	or (bm), or any combination of par. (a), (b), or (bm) for acts arising out of the same
20	incident or occurrence. If the person is charged with violating both pars. (a) and (b)
21	, (b), or (bm), or any combination of par. (a), (b), or (bm) in the complaint, the crimes
$\bigcirc 22)$	shall be joined under s. 971.12. If the person is found guilty of both pars. (a) and (b)
23	(b), or (bm), or any combination of par. (a), (b), or (bm) for acts arising out of the same
24	incident or occurrence, there shall be a single conviction for purposes of sentencing



and for purposes of counting convictions under s. 350.11 (3) (a) 2. and 3. Paragraphs

_	- 10 -	LRB-0465/P2ins
	plain	PJH&MGD:jld:pg
(1)	(a) and (b) (bm) each require proof of a fact for conviction	n which the other
$\underbrace{2}$	does others do not require.	
Hist 3	SECTION 23. 350.101 (2) (d) of the statutes is renumbered 350	0.101 (2) (d) 1. and
4	amended to read:	
5	350.101 (2) (d) 1. Defenses. In an action under this subsecti	on, the defendant
6	has a defense if he or she proves by a preponderance of the eviden	ce that the injury
7	would have occurred even if he or she had been exercising due care	and he or she had
8	not been under the influence of an intoxicant or did not have an alco	ohol concentration
9	of 0.1 or more or any amount of a controlled substance or a con	trolled substance
10	analog in his or her blood or urine.	. `
Hist	ory: 1987 a. 399; 1989 a. 275; 1995 a. 436. SECTION 24. 350.101 (2) (d) 2. of the statutes is created to r	ead:
(12)	350.101 (2) (d) 2. In an action under par. (bm), the defenda	ant has a defense
13	if he or she proves by a preponderance of the evidence that, at the ti	me of the incident
14	or occurrence, one of the following applied:	
15	a. He or she had a valid prescription for the controlled subst	ance or controlled
16	substance analog that was present in his or her blood or urine a	nd the amount of
17	controlled substance or controlled substance and the first	

mount of ntrolled substance or controlled substance analog found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance or controlled substance analog being used as prescribed.

b. He or she had complied with s. 961.23 in obtaining the controlled substance that was present in his or her blood or urine and the amount of controlled substance found in his or her blood or urine was consistent with the controlled substance being used as directed.

SECTION 25. 350.104 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

[19]

350.104 (4) Admissibility; effect of test results; other evidence. The results of a chemical test required or administered under sub. (1), (2) or (3) are admissible in any civil or criminal action or proceeding arising out of the acts committed by a person alleged to have violated the intoxicated snowmobiling law on the issue of whether the person was under the influence of an intoxicant or the issue of whether the person had alcohol concentrations at or above specified levels or any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine. Results of these chemical tests shall be given the effect required under s. 885.235. This section does not limit the right of a law enforcement officer to obtain evidence by any other lawful means.

History: 1987 a. 399; 1989 a. 359; 1993 a. 105; 1995 a. 27 s. 9126 (19).

SECTION 26. 885.235 (1g) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

that a person was under the influence of an intoxicant or, had a prohibited alcohol concentration or, a specified alcohol concentration, or any amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine while operating or driving a motor vehicle or, if the vehicle is a commercial motor vehicle, on duty time, while operating a motorboat, except a sailboat operating under sail alone, while operating a snowmobile, while operating an all-terrain vehicle or while handling a firearm, evidence of the amount of alcohol, controlled substance, or controlled substance analog in the person's blood or urine at the time in question, as shown by chemical analysis of a sample of the person's blood or urine or evidence of the amount of alcohol in the person's breath, is admissible on the issue of whether he or she was under the influence of an intoxicant or, had a prohibited alcohol concentration or any amount of a controlled



8	substance analog in his or her blood or urine.
7	is prima facie evidence on the issue of having a controlled substance or a controlled
6	amount of a controlled substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood
5	885.235 (1g) (cm) The fact that the analysis shows that the person had any
4	History: 1971 c. 40; 1973 c. 102; 1981 c. 20, 184; 1983 a. 74, 459; 1985 a. 146 s. 8; 1985 a. 331, 337; 1987 a. 3, 399; 1989 a. 105; 1991 a. 277; 1995 a. 436, 448; 1997 a. SECTION 27. 885.235 (1g) (cm) of the statutes is created to read:
3	be given effect as follows without requiring any expert testimony as to its effect:
2	was taken within 3 hours after the event to be proved. The chemical analysis shall
T	substance or a controlled substance analog in his or her blood or urine if the sample

(end ins c)

2003–2004 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

 $\begin{array}{c} LRB-0465/P2insMD\\ MGD:...:...\end{array}$

1	_	INSERT	13/24

2 (m), and sub. (1g) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), and (d) each require proof of a fact for

3 conviction which the others do not require

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0465/P2dn MGD:jld:cph

February 14, 2003

Rep. Gundrum:

As I indicated in the previous drafter's note, current s. 940.09 (1m) contains an error. Until 1989 Wisconsin Act 105 was enacted, there were only two ways in which s. 940.09 could be violated. Thus, the last sentence of s. 940.09 (1) (c) read: "Paragraphs (a) and (b) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does not require." When Act 105 created s. 940.09 (1) (bm) (which created a new prohibition related to the operation of a commercial motor vehicle), it also renumbered s. 940.09 (1) (c) as s. 940.09 (1m) and amended the last sentence of that provision to read: "Subsection (1) (a), (b), and (bm) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does not require." That change, however, should have also replaced "other does" with "others do." Act 105's enactment produced the same error in s. 940.25 (1m).

The error in s. 940.09 (1m) was then compounded by the enactment of 1991 Wisconsin Act 277. Until then, s. 940.09 treated both homicide by intoxicated use of vehicle and homicide by intoxicated use of firearm in the same subsection (sub. (1)). Act 277 removed the references to homicide by intoxicated use of firearm from sub. (1) and created a new sub. (1g) to cover that conduct. At the same time, Act 277 amended sub. (1m). As a result of that amendment, the last sentence read: "Subsection (1) (a), (b), and (bm), and sub. (1) (a) and (b), each require proof of a fact for conviction which the other does not require." Since then, additional paragraph references have been added for each of those subsections.

As a result of these changes, the last sentence of s. 940.09 (1m) could be read as merely indicating that the prohibition against homicide by intoxicated use of vehicle requires proof of a fact for conviction that the prohibition against homicide by intoxicated use of firearm does not require, and vice versa. In other words, that subsection does not clearly specify that one version of homicide by intoxicated use of vehicle (such as the version prohibited under s. 940.09 (1) (a)) requires proof of a fact that the other versions of that offense do not.

Given the history of this provision and the fact that this bill creates new paragraphs to which this provision will refer (subs. (1) (am) and (cm) and (1g) (am) and (cm)), it makes sense not to perpetuate the problems described above. Instead, this bill amends s. 940.09 (1m) so that the last sentence of it reads: "Subsection (1) (a), (am), (b), (bm), (c), (cm), (d), and (e) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the others do not

require, and sub. (1g) (a), (am), (b), (c), (cm), and (d) each require proof of a fact for conviction which the others do not require." (This is slightly different from what I suggested in the first drafter's note; I had not yet noticed the Act 105 error.) The bill also amends s. 940.25 (1m) to replace "other does" with "others do" in the last sentence. These changes are consistent with the changes that Peggy Hurley has made in other parts of the draft. See, e.g., s. 346.63 (1) (c).

I hope this information is helpful. Please let me know if you have any questions about it.

Michael Dsida Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–9867